

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
21.1 Introduction	
21.1	<u>Objection</u> 1. Allocations in proximity of grade II listed Panshanger Park are contrary to the NPPF
21.2 Heritage Assets	
21.2	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. There are 16 Registered Parks and Gardens in the District not 15
21.2.5	<u>Support</u> 1. Paragraph is not likely to be effective or consistent with national policy - Non-designated heritage assets should be treated the same as designated assets 2. The Conservation area Appraisals and Historic Parks and Gardens SPD do not take account of the historic environment as a whole – assets outside these documents will not be treated appropriately
Policy HA1 Designated Heritage Assets	
HA1	<u>Objection</u> 1. Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy 2. Policy should be reworded to be in line with paragraph 131 of the NPPF 3. Policy does not cover possibility of “less than substantial harm”
Policy HA2 Non-Designated Heritage Assets	
HA2	<u>Support</u> 1. Policy is supported <u>Objection</u> 1. Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	2. Unclear what the policy is trying to achieve
HA2 Part I	<u>Objection</u> 1. Part I not effective as the NPPF states necessary expertise is required
HA2 Part II	<u>Objection</u> 1. Unclear what the policy is trying to achieve
21.3 Archaeology	
21.3.3	<u>Objection</u> 1. Plan should require appropriate analysis of material and publication of results 2. Policy needs to be in line with paragraph 141 of the NPPF – require recording and the advancement of understanding of significance to be lost
21.3.4	<u>Objection</u> 1. Paragraph is unclear – areas of Archaeological Significance can include areas of archaeological potential
Policy HA3 Archaeology	
HA3	<u>Objection</u> 1. Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy
Policy HA4 Conservation Areas	
HA4	<u>Objection</u> 1. Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy 2. Conservation Area Character Appraisals are non-statutory documents which are only a material consideration
HA8 Part I(f)	<u>Objection</u> 1. Criterion (f) is contrary to paragraph 11 of the NPPF – authentic restoration is not necessary when features are already lost

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
HA8 Part II	<u>Objection</u> 1. Policy is contrary to the NPPF – no ban on demolition where buildings make a contribution to the area
Policy HA7 Listed Buildings	
HA7	<u>Objection</u> 1. Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy
HA7 Part II	<u>Objection</u> 1. No provision within the NPPF for this criterion
HA7 Part III	<u>Objection</u> 1. No provision within the NPPF for this criterion
21.6 Historic Parks and Gardens	
21.6.1	<u>Objection</u> 1. No consultation with the Friends of Panshanger Park
21.6.5	<u>Objection</u> 1. Hertfordshire Garden Trust have not been requested to produce a local list
Policy HA8 Historic Parks and Gardens	
HA8	<u>Objection</u> 1. Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy 2. Historic Parks and Gardens are finite resources 3. Consultation required with the Hertfordshire Garden Trust

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
Policy HA9 Enabling Development	
HA9	<u>Objection</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="421 395 1200 432">1. Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy<li data-bbox="421 440 842 477">2. No need for this policy<li data-bbox="421 485 1827 560">3. If retained, should be amended to refer to Historic England's guidance on enabling development